

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



\*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,835	11/25/2002	Thomas Dudley Belanger JR.	202-0480	9700
22844	22844 7590 07/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC. SUITE 600 - PARKLANE TOWERS EAST ONE PARKLANE BLVD. DEARBORN, MI 48126			MILLER, CARL STUART	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/200	4 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ā			A // /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/065,835	BELANGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carl S. Miller	3747			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	<u>pril 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4: 5)⊠ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 3-7,9,11, 13-15,18-20 and 22-28 is/ara) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) 3-7, 9,18-20 and 2-28 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[ T	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-			
11)[  1.	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) <u></u> 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
	and attached actailed critice action for a list	or the definied copies not recei	vou.			
Attach						
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/065,835

**Art Unit: 3747** 

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uranishi.

In particular, Figure 10 of the reference continues to apply as noted in the previous office action.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uranishi in view of Bajerle.

Uranishi and Bayerle apply as noted in the previous office action.

Claims 3-7, 9, 18-20 and 22-28 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the applicant's arguments regarding Uranishi are all based upon the fact that he feels Claim 11 requires that vapors from the fuel tank be able to enter the canister via the claimed perforation. The examiner does not believe the language of Claim 11 is specific enough to require this limitation. Vapors will pass

Application/Control Number: 10/065,835

Art Unit: 3747

through the claimed passage in both directions even though these vapors will not enter

the interior of the canister. This is all the current claim requires.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 3

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at

telephone number 308-2653.

Miller/DI

June 14, 2004

Carl S. Miller

**Primary Examiner**